UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.         | FILING DATE                   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/796,912              | 03/08/2004                    | James M. Brugger     | T4342-14198US23     | 1670             |
|                         | 7590 07/28/200<br>CKBRIDGE PC | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 1751 PINNACI            |                               | HAND, MELANIE JO     |                     |                  |
| SUITE 500<br>MCLEAN, VA | 22102-3833                    |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                         |                               |                      | 3761                |                  |
|                         |                               |                      |                     |                  |
|                         |                               |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                         |                               |                      | 07/28/2008          | ELECTRONIC       |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipdocketing@milesstockbridge.com sstiles@milesstockbridge.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)   |  |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/796,912      | BRUGGER ET AL. |  |
|                 |                |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit       |  |

|  | MELANIE J. HAND   | 3761  |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence add  | ress                                    |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>08 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:  | replies: (1) an amendment, affidaviral (with appeal fee) in compliance  | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or          | hich places the (3) a Request           |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE                 | g date of the final rejection                             | n.                                      |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL  | ension and the corresponding amount of<br>hortened statutory period for reply origi   | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic | te extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS   | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the                                    |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be  (a) They raise new issues that would require further con  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second co | nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);<br>ver form for appeal by materially reconstructions or responding number of finally rejectors. | E below);<br>ducing or simplifying th                     |   |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.124.   The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed an endowable claim(s).  To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [2]   | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Col<br><br>owable if submitted in a separate, t  | imely filed amendmer                                      | t canceling the                         |  |  |  |  |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov<br>The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:<br>Claim(s) allowed:<br>Claim(s) objected to: <u>26 and 27</u> .<br>Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12,16-25,28 and 29</u> .<br>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:<br>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>and was not earlier presented. Se  | ıl and/or appellant fails<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)        | s to provide a                          |  |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |   | •   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>   | r i 0/36/06) raper No(s)  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| /Tatyana Zalukaeva/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3761  | /Melanie J Hand/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 3761   |   |   |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant amended all independent claims so as to change their scope, warranting further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's remarks are based upon an amendment that has not been entered. As to applicant's remarks regarding the indication of allowable subject matter, the precise limitations of claims 26 and claim 27 not taught or suggested by the prior art of record is as follows:

With respect to claim 26, "wherein the first engagement element moves relative to the second engagement element on a pair of rails, the support member including at least one of the pair of rails"; with respect to claim 27, "wherein the first engagement element moves relative to the second engagement element on a pair of rails, the support member including at least one of the pair of rails, the first engagement element being fixed and the second engagement element being movable". This serves as a correction to the prosecution record.